

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 05-11869

D. C. Docket No. 03-01529-CV-BBM-1

FILED

**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

February 2, 2006

**THOMAS K. KAHN
CLERK**

EILEEN HAMALL-DESAI,

Plaintiff-Appellee-
Cross-Appellant,

versus

FORTIS BENEFITS INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant-Appellant-
Cross-Appellee.

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia

(February 2, 2006)

Before BLACK, HULL and FARRIS*, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

* Honorable Jerome Farris, United States Circuit Judge for the Ninth Circuit, sitting by designation.

AFFIRMED. See 11th Cir. R. 36-1.¹

¹ 11th Cir. R. 36-1 provides:

When the court determines that any of the following circumstances exist:

- (a) judgment of the district court is based on findings of fact that are not clearly erroneous;
- (b) the evidence in support of a jury verdict is sufficient;
- (c) the order of an administrative agency is supported by substantial evidence on the record as a whole;
- (d) summary judgment, directed verdict, or judgment on the pleadings is supported by the record;
- (e) judgment has been entered without a reversible error of law; and an opinion would have no precedential value, the judgment or order may be affirmed or enforced without opinion.